

Appln. No. 10/691,333
Reply dated November 13, 2006
in response to October 13, 2006 Notice

REMARKS

The Notice states that the July 25, 2006 Response to Restriction Requirement ("the Response") is non-compliant because it failed to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.121. Specifically, the Examiner states that certain formulas in amended claim 9 (at page 16, lines 2-5, of the Response) should have been underlined, as they appear to have been added.

However, the addition of these formulas into amended claim 9 was both erroneous and inadvertent. Accordingly, applicants have submitted herewith a corrected Amendments to the Claims in which these formulas have been removed. With this corrected section, applicants submit that the Response is now in compliance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.121, and respectfully request its entry.

Appln. No. 10/691,333
Reply dated November 13, 2006
In response to October 13, 2006 Notice

CONCLUSION

Applicants request consideration of the application
and early allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,



James F. Haley, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,794)
Karen Mangasarian (Reg. 43,772)
Attorneys for Applicants

Tae Bum Shin (Ltd. Reg. No. L0091)
Agent for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020-1104
Tel (650) 617-4000 (CA)
FAX (212) 596-9090 (NY)